OADR

### TOP SECRET SENSITIVE

(IV)

#### UN ASPECTS OF CUBAN SITUATION

#### SPECIFIC CONTINGENCIES: US ACTION SEQUENCE

### A. Soviet Initiative in SC (as Result of US Note)

- A US note to Cuba or the USSR which had the effect

  inter alia of warning them US military action was

  imminent might well result in either Cuba or the

  USSR (or both) calling on SC session to complain of

  imminent US plans of aggression before we had a chance
  to take any military steps.
- 2. On the assumption that action by the US was imminent, we should respond immediately with a counter-item and set in motion the OAS machinery under Article 6 of the Rio Treaty as well. NATO would also be informed and asked to cooperate.

3. The

TOP SEED TO PROPERTY.

10/98

CHILLIP SHORES AND STREET OF THE STREET OF T

CAUR

- 3. The counter-item should accuse the USSR of destroying

  Cuba's independence and of seeking now to use the SC

  as a smokescreen to camoflauge its aggressive installation of weapons in Cuba, etc. It should assert our intention to exercise our rights of self-defense.
- 4. Our political program should <u>not</u> in these circumstances be put forward at the outset. The political program should be held <u>until</u> the point at which we act militarily.

  This presumably would come after a few meetings of the Council.
- We should introduce at the outset of this sort of a situation a counter-resolution directed against the USSR.
- 6. At the end of the SC process we should ask for an Emergency

GΑ

CADR ...

#### TOP SECRET SENSITIVE

- 3 -

GA ourselves.

7. (If action by us is <u>not</u> imminent, and knowledge of what has happened in Cuba <u>not</u> out, we could counterattack the Soviet or Cuban item in the SC through speeches based on para. 3 above, without a counteritem.)

# B. US Initiatives in Connection With Unilateral Military Action

1. Shortly before (several hours or somewhat less than a day ahead) US action the US should ask for an urgent meeting of the Security Council to consider the threat by the USSR's destruction of the independence of Cuba and its increased delivery of weapons and military personnel to Cuba, and possibly warning of the right of the American States to defend themselves. The request

should

OADR.

SAIR

- / -

should not mention the exact facts.

- We should seek to have the meeting scheduled for shortly after the action -- e.g. if at night the next morning, otherwise on the same day.
- 3. Parallet with action in the SC we should convene the OAS on the same subject under Article 6 of the Rio

  Treaty. MATO would be asked to support one MATOAS

  action.
- 4. At least the UK should be fully briefed in advance.

  Probably also France.
- 5. In the SC meeting we should report under Article 51 what the USSR has done, and what we have done, and put

\_orth

TOP SECRET SEMESTIVE

1 ADR

OADR

or airst \_ke)

- 5 -

put forth our political program. We may or may not want to put in a resolution at that point. (Although probably yes). But the resolution must be ready.

- 6. In the OAS we should ask for multilateral defensive military action under Article 6 of the Rio Treaty of the sort discussed above. (Although we have doubts whether we would get it in these circumstances.)

  When action is taken the R OAS should report to the SC Vonder Article 51.
- We should be prepared to go to the EAT GA under the Unity for Peace Procedure.
- C. US Intiatives in Connection with Military Action through the OAS
  - Prior action through the OAS to get endorsement under Article 6 of the Rio Treaty for military action (blockade

TOP SECRET SERVITIVE

OADR.

#### TOP SECRET SENSITIVE

- 6 -

- or airstrike) runs almost insurrmountable risks of leaks. On a blockade this would not be disastrous; on the latter it might.
- Our objective in this sequence would be to get an OAS
   decision which would immediately be reported by the
   OAS to the UN under Article 51.
- 3. The US should then at the same time submit a supplementary item charging the USSR with having destroyed

  Cuban political independence and using Coa as a base of aggression in the hemisphere, etc. On the assumption that our military action in response to the OAS action is immediate the item would also report on action we are taking in consequence and under Article 51.

  In our speech but not in the item we would put forward our political program.

4. NATO

- 7 -
- NATO would presumably be convened at the same time as the OAS and asked to support OAS action.
- The probability is that this sequence cannot be held because of leaks and that action would therefore be required in the SC before the OAS finishes its work. In this case the US should proceed with an item in the Security Council before the OAS finishes its work. (It is desirable that we go to the SC before the USSR.) In our speech we should report on the urgent consultations in the OAS, bring out the facts t of the real situation in Cuba, and introduce a resolution calling on the USSR to dismantle the installations and abandon its efforts in the hemisphere. HI Hopefully we could put this to the vote immediately, and a Soviet veto would XXXX

CONFIGENTIAL

give

OAOR

#### TOP SECURE

- 8 -

give further justification for OAS action.

- an SC session itself immediately upon hearing of our convening of the OAS. If this happens we should respond with a counter-item on the above lines. (Our military action must come quickly in these circumstances -- paras. 5 and 6 -- as the danger of a cease-fire resolution being adopted will be severe, if not in the SC at least in the GA.)
- 7. The US political program would be introduced in the SC as a subsequent xxx step xx to the above to be xxx taken after OAS action and US implementation.

D. US

TOP SECRET SENSITIVE

OADR

- D. <u>US Initiatives Designed to Produce Political Solution</u>
  With Military Action Being Threatened in Connection
  - 1. If we were asksng for KINHKH blockade rather than airstrike authority from OAS and wanted to try a big political solution before we actively carried out a blockade, we could follow still another course.
  - 2. We could call for simultaneous meetings of the OAS,
    NATO and the SC. In the first two we would ask for
    Rio Treaty article 6 action and support respectively.
    In the SC we would make the standard charge of Soviet
    destruction of Cuban independence, supply of arms
    (specifics) etc. We would announce we regard the
    situation as aggressive act and are seeking OAS and
    NATO action. At the same time we would make our

political

CADR

- 10 -

political offer of mentrality neutralization, including a provision which would call for dismantling of the installations and no "hostile: moves on our part, with UN observers, etc.

3. The combination of steps would give us considerable bargaining power. The danger is, however, that the USSR would veto such a proposal and that a simple "cease-fire" would be proposed and carried, if not in the SC then in the GA, which would endeavor to stop a blockade without eliminating the installations. On the other hand the GAT could also be expected to put mediatory efforts into active play, with results which would probably be beneficial.

TOP SECRET SENSITIVE

# **EXCISED COPY FOLLOWS**



#### UN ASPECTS OF CUBAN SITUATION

## SPECIFIC CONTINGENCIES: US ACTION SEQUENCE

# A. Soviet Initiative in SC (as Result of US Note)

- inter alia of warning them US military action was imminent might well result in either Cuba or the USSR (or both) calling on SC session to complain of imminent US plans of aggression before we had a chance to take any military steps.
- 2. On the assumption that action by the US was imminent, we should respond immediately with a counter-item and set in motion the OAS machinery under Article 6 of the Rio Treaty as well. NATO would also be informed and asked to cooperate.



3. The

DOWNGRADE TO to (

REPRODUCED AT COVERNMENT EXPENSE

- The counter-item should accuse the USSR of destroying Cuba's independence and of seeking now to use the SC as a smokescreen to camoflauge its aggressive installation of weapons in Cuba, etc. It should assert our intention to exercise our rights of self-defense.
- Our political program should not in these circumstances be put forward at the outset. The political program should be held until the point at which we act militarily. This presumably would come after a few meetings of the ROBUGED AT COVERNMENT EXPENSE Council.
- We should introduce at the outset of this sort of a situation a counter-resolution directed against the USSR.
- At the end of the SC process se should ask for an Emergence

CONFIDENTIAL

3 -

GA ourselves.

(If action by us is not imminent, and knowledge of what has happened in Cuba not out, we could counterattack the Soviet or Cuban item in the SC through speeches based on para. 3 above, without a counteritem.)

# US Initiatives in Connection With Unilateral Military Action

Shortly before (several hours or somewhat less than a day ahead) US action the US should ask for an urgent
meeting of the Security Council to consider the threat
by the USSR's destruction of the independence of Cuba
and its increased delivery of weapons and military
personnel to Cuba, and possibly warning of the right of the request the American States to defend themselves. The request

CONFIGERAL DADR

should

OADR

#### TOP SPORT SEMBILIVE

- 4 -

should not mention the exact facts.

- 2. We should seek to have the meeting scheduled for shortly after the action -- e.g. if at night the next morning, otherwise on the same day.
- 3. Parallet with action in the S<sup>o</sup> we should convene the OAS on the same subject under Article 6 of the Rto

  Treaty. MATO would be asked to support one MOAS

  action.

5. In the SC meeting we should report under Article 51 what the USSR has done, and what we have done, and put

forth

REPRODUÇED AT GOVERNMENT EXPE

put forth our political program. We may or may not want to put in a resolution at that point. (Although probably yes). But the resolution must be ready.

- In the OAS we should ask for multilateral defensive military action under Article 6 of the Rio Treaty of (Although we have doubts the sort discussed above. whether we would get it in these circumstances.) When action is taken the I OAS should report to the SC Ender Article 51.
- 7. We should be prepared to go to the EXT GA under the
- C. "US Initiatives in Connection with Military Action through the OAS
- We should be prepared to go to the EAT GA under the

  Unity for Peace Procedure.

  Intiatives in Connection with Military Action through

  OAS

  Prior action through the OAS to get endorsement under

  Article 6 of the Rio Treaty for military action (blockade)

or airstrike)



#### TOP SECRET PROPERTY

- 6 -

or airstrike) runs almost insurrmountable risks of leaks. On a blockade this would not be disastrous; on the latter it might.

- 2. Our objective in this sequence would be to get an OAS
  decision which would immediately be reported by the
  OAS to the UN under Article 51.
- mentary item charging the USSR with having destroyed

  Cuban political independence and using Cuba as a base

  of aggression in the hemisphere, etc. On the

  assumption that our military action in response to the

  OAS action is immediate the item would also report on

  action we are taking in consequence and under Article

  In our speech but not in the item we would put forward

CONFIDENTIAL

4. NATO

our political program.

\_

- 4. NATO would presumably be convened at the same time as the OAS and asked to support OAS action.
- The probability is that this sequence cannot be held because of leaks and that action would therefore be required in the SC before the OAS finishes its work. In this case the US should proceed with an item in the Security Council before the OAS finishes its work. (It is desirable that we go to the SC before the USSR.) In our speech we should report on the urgent consultation in the OAS, bring out the facts & of the real situation in Cuba, and introduce a resolution calling on the USSR to dismantle the installations and abandon its efforts in the hemisphere. II Hopefully we could put this to the vote immediately, and a Soviet veto would EXTE

CONFIDENTIAL

TOTAL



#### TO STATE OF THE ST

- 8 -

give further justification for OAS action.

- an SC session itself immediately upon hearing of our convening of the OAS. If this happens we should respond with a counter-item on the above lines. (Our military action must come quickly in these circumstances action being adopted will be severe, if not in the SC at least in the GA.)
- 7. The US political program would be introduced in the SC as a subsequent \*\*\* step \*\* to the above to be \*\*\* taken after OAS action and US implementation.

D. US

TOP SHOOT TOP TOT

CONFIDENTIAL

- D. <u>US Initiatives Designed to Produce Political Solution</u>
  With Military Action Being Threatened in Connection
  - 1. If we were asksng for EXECUTE blockade rather than airstrike authority from OAS and wanted to try a big political solution before we actively carried out a blockade, we could follow still another course.
  - 2. We could call for simultaneous meetings of the OAS,
    NATO and the SC. In the first two we would ask for
    Rio Treaty Article 6 action and support respectively.
    In the SC we would make the standard charge of Soviet
    destruction of Cuban independence, supply of arms
    (specifics) etc. We would announce we regard the
    situation as aggressive act and are seeking OAS and

political

CONFIDENTIAL OAD (2

TOP SECOND TEMPLTIVE

NATO action. At the same time we would make our

REPROBUÇEB AT COVERNMENT EXPENS

- 10 -

political offer of mantality neutralization, including a provision which would call for dismantling of the installations and no "hostile: moves on our part, with UN observers, etc.

The combination of steps would give us considerable 3. bargaining power. The danger is, however, that the USSR would veto such a proposal and that a simple "cease-fire" would be proposed and carried, a blockade without eliminating the installa
On the other hand the GAT could also be expected and also be expecte if not in the SC then in the GA, which would endeavor to stop a blockade without eliminating the installato put mediatory efforts into active play, with results which would probably be beneficial.

CONFIDENTIAL

DANR.